

## CONTENTS

<i>Detailed table of contents</i>	page vii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xiii
<i>Table of cases</i>	xvi
<i>Table of legislation</i>	xxiv
1 Introduction: aims and methods	1
<b>Part I The case for constitutional social rights</b>	<b>15</b>
2 The case for social rights	17
3 The value of courts in light of the alternatives	59
4 A basic interpretive approach	97
<b>Part II A theory of judicial restraint</b>	<b>119</b>
5 Institutional approaches to judicial restraint	121
6 Democratic legitimacy	152
7 Polycentricity	189
8 Expertise	211
9 Flexibility	250
<b>Part III Incrementalism</b>	<b>287</b>
10 Incrementalism as a general theme	289
<i>Appendix 1: The scope of economic and social rights under international law</i>	326
<i>Bibliography</i>	328
<i>Index</i>	356

## DETAILED TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction: aims and methods</b>	<b>1</b>			
	I	Introduction	1		
	II	Why does it matter?	2		
	III	Arguments against constitutional social rights	3		
		A	The bad arguments	4	
		B	The good arguments	5	
		C	The best argument – the risky enterprise	7	
	IV	The case for incrementalism in a nutshell	8		
	V	Background political conditions – when the argument applies	10		
		A	The background political conditions	10	
		B	When the conditions do not apply	12	
	VI	Conclusion	13		
	<b>Part I</b>	<b>The case for constitutional social rights</b>	<b>15</b>		
<b>2</b>	<b>The case for social rights</b>	<b>17</b>			
	I	Introduction	17		
	II	Different senses of ‘social rights’	18		
	III	Social rights, human rights, and the welfare state	20		
		A	Social rights as human rights: form and justification	20	
		B	The basic content of social human rights	28	
			1	The social minimum	29
			2	The basic duties in respect of the social minimum	35
		C	State responsibility and the welfare state	39	
	IV	Multi-institutional protection of social rights	41		
		A	Legislative	41	
		B	Executive/administrative	44	
		C	Adjudicative	48	
		D	Constitutional	51	
	V	Conclusion	57		

<b>3</b>	<b>The value of courts in light of the alternatives</b>	<b>59</b>
I	Introduction	59
II	The prima facie benefits of legal accountability	60
III	The courts and social change	63
A	Significant social change	63
B	Impact and administrative justice	70
C	A pathology of legalism?	76
D	A hollow hope for the poor?	79
IV	Alternatives to courts: partner or substitute?	85
A	Specialised adjudication – tribunals	86
B	Ombudsmen	90
C	Alternative dispute resolution (ADR)	93
V	Conclusion: the role of law in an incrementalist approach	95
<b>4</b>	<b>A basic interpretive approach</b>	<b>97</b>
I	Introduction	97
II	Constitutional social rights: a basic interpretive approach	97
A	The structure of rights: scope of interest and nature of obligation	98
B	Constitutional text: absolute and qualified obligations	100
C	Judicial interpretation: the inescapability of vagueness	105
III	The need for an approach to judicial restraint	108
A	Social rights in the shadow of <i>Lochner</i> and Dicey	108
B	The allure and limits of existing interpretive approaches	111
1	Interpretivism	111
2	Principles, balancing, and proportionality	112
3	Deliberative democracy	113
4	The minimum-core approach	114
5	Institutional reform approach	116
6	South African reasonableness	116
IV	Conclusion	117
	<b>Part II A theory of judicial restraint</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Institutional approaches to judicial restraint</b>	<b>121</b>
I	Introduction	121
II	Formalist approaches	122

	A	The distinction between law and politics	123
	B	The principle/policy distinction	125
	C	Justiciability	129
III		Institutional approaches	132
	A	The rising tide of institutionalism	133
	B	General features of institutional approaches	136
	C	Two paths diverge: restrictive vs. contextual institutionalism	141
	D	Measuring the success of institutional approaches	142
IV		Developing the contextual institutional approach	143
	A	The nature of legal reasoning under a 'principled approach'	143
	B	Pragmatics: how should judges apply an institutional approach?	148
V		Conclusion	150
<b>6</b>		<b>Democratic legitimacy</b>	<b>152</b>
	I	Introduction	152
	II	The dignity of legislation	153
	A	The argument from political equality	154
	B	Limits	156
		1 The gap between preferences and outcomes	156
		2 Equality or outcome-based departures from formal voting equality	159
III		Two pressing problems with the finality of legislation	163
	A	Absence of legislative focus	164
	B	Marginalisation	165
IV		Giving weight to democratic legitimacy	169
	A	Executive action: not pre-empting legislative focus	169
	B	Primary legislation: ensuring legislative focus	171
	C	Primary legislation: weak democratic restraint	173
		1 Absolute obligations	173
		2 Procedural rights	174
		3 Clear or core cases	175
		4 Marginalised groups	176
	D	Primary legislation: strong democratic restraint	185
V		Conclusion	187
<b>7</b>		<b>Polycentricity</b>	<b>189</b>
	I	Introduction	189
	II	The idea and importance of polycentricity	190

III	The pervasiveness of polycentricity	192
IV	Refinement of Fuller's idea	193
	A A property of issues, not areas	193
	B When a legal issue is polycentric	194
V	Giving weight to polycentricity: attenuating factors	198
	A Judicial mandate	198
	B Degree	202
	C Access to information: case management and interventions	203
	D Relative competency	208
	E Flexibility: remedies and revisitation	209
VI	Conclusion	209
<b>8</b>	<b>Expertise</b>	<b>211</b>
I	Introduction	211
II	Law and expertise in historical context	212
	A Lesson from America	212
	B Expertise in British law and administration	215
III	Types of expertise	220
	A Front-line expertise	221
	B Managerial expertise	223
	C Professional expertise	225
	D Specialised adjudicative expertise	228
IV	Giving weight to expertise	229
	A Expertise-accountability trade-offs	229
	1 Expert intuition and accountability	230
	2 Expertise and democratic accountability	231
	3 Expertise-accountability trade-offs and cost-benefit	233
	B Expertise types and variation of scrutiny	233
	C Failures of expertise	235
	1 Refusal to apply expertise	235
	2 Distinctive facts	238
	3 Contradiction of established scientific or social science evidence	240
V	Conclusion	248
<b>9</b>	<b>Flexibility</b>	<b>250</b>
I	Introduction	250
II	Forms of inflexibility	251
	A Legal forms	251
	1 Agenda control	251

2	Delay	253	
3	Cost	257	
B	Bureaucratic and political forms	258	
1	Conscious forms: fettering, ideology, and stereotype	259	
2	Bounded rationality, path-dependence, and heuristics	259	
III	Giving weight to flexibility	264	
A	The perils of procedural rights	264	
1	Interest representation in administrative policy-making	264	
2	Trial-like rights in administrative decision-making	268	
3	Structural injunctions and supervisory jurisdiction	271	
B	Mandating flexibility	275	
1	Procedural rights	275	
2	Non-fettering and mandatory consideration	277	
C	Remedies: respecting flexibility	281	
1	Constitutional avoidance	281	
2	Vague legal standards	282	
3	Flexible remedies and the virtue of silence	283	
IV	Conclusion	285	

### Part III Incrementalism 287

10	Incrementalism as a general theme	289	
I	Introduction	289	
II	Incrementalism and public administration	290	
III	Applying judicial incrementalism	293	
A	The techniques of incrementalism	294	
1	Particularisation	294	
2	Cautious expansion, analogical reasoning	296	
3	Vague legal standards	296	
4	Procedural rights	298	
5	Constitutional avoidance	299	
6	Non-intrusive remedies	300	
7	Revisitation	301	
IV	Incrementalism and its cousins	303	
A	Sunstein's judicial minimalism	303	
B	Democratic experimentalism	306	
V	Criticisms of incrementalism	311	
A	Inert incrementalism	311	
B	Insidious incrementalism	313	
C	Impossible incrementalism	314	

VI	Incrementalist answers to familiar social rights problems	315	
	A	Enforcement of positive obligations	316
	B	Evaluation of resource scarcity	316
VII	Incrementalism and the principles of restraint		320
VIII	Conclusion	322	
	<i>Appendix 1: The scope of economic and social rights under international law</i>	326	
	<i>Bibliography</i>	328	
	<i>Index</i>	356	